UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,485	02/07/2006	Matthias Illing	022862-1071-00	8675	
23409 MICHAEL BE	7590 12/19/2007 ST & FRIEDRICH LLP		EXAMINER		
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			MILLER, SAMANTHA A		
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEE	, WI 53202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3749		
			[
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/567,485	ILLING ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Art Unit	Γ
zerere the rining or air repeat zere.	OIN		
	Samantha A. Miller	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			lress
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final relation wh	richeverie leter In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte			ne appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	d within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	AACSIISA
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Coduoc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		£	4!: 4b
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•	<i>r</i>	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> .		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		P 6A 19	. ()
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

because:

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that the hazardous gas concentration sensor in Chatterjee is not temperature-compensated is not persuasive because as applicant admits Chatterjee teaches all the elements claimed and teaches a system capable to realize a temperature compensation of the sensor. This system is perfectly capable to have a hazardous gas sensor that is temperature compensated do to the system being temperature compensated.

STEVEN B. MCALLISTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER